

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,254	08/31/2001	Rachel Meyers	MP100-332P1RM	1955
7:	590 11/10/2003		EXAM	INER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			RAO, MANJUNATH N	
MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC 75 SIDNEY STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			DATE MARKED ALCOHOLOGIC	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/945,254	MEYERS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 25 August 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See attached.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 32-45 and 49-56.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/945,254

Art Unit: 1652

Advisory Action

Page 2

Item No.2

The proposed amendment has not been entered because they raise new issues and/or a new

search. Previous claims were not directed to a method of identifying a compound capable of

treating cellular growth or proliferative disorder wherein the cellular growth or proliferative

disorder is selected from the group consisting of lung cancer, breast cancer and colon cancer.

Similarly, the steps involved in identifying a compound that binds to the polypeptide with SEQ

ID NO or its fragment having a glycosyltransferase activity did not involve incubating the test

compound with cells selected from the group consisting of lung caner, breast cancer or colon

cancer and monitoring the growth or proliferation of such cells. These are new issues that were

not presented to the Examiner in the earlier claims. Introduction of such limitation at this stage

in the prosecution f the above application raises new issues and requires a new search.

Therefore the above amendment has not been entered.

Item No. 5

Due to the non-entry of the amendment, all previous rejections are maintained for reasons of

record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-306-

5681. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/945,254 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0196.

Manjunath N. Rao November 5, 2003 Return Parts